

NCAA 2007 Survey: Member Institution's Drug-Education and Drug-Testing Programs

NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports



Introduction

Since 1984, the NCAA has surveyed member institutions to obtain information on institutional drug-education and drug testing programs. This study is conducted in conjunction with the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport. It is designed to assess the status of drug-education and testing programs on all NCAA campuses.

The directors of athletics at NCAA member institutions are sent a link to an online questionnaire that includes questions on drug-testing, drug-education and drug-use rehabilitation. A letter was also mailed to each school with details about the survey. For the 2007 survey, there were over 1000 questionnaires sent to directors of athletics at all active and provisional member institutions. 627 surveys were returned in time to be included in the results for a 59 percent return rate. Of the returned surveys, 60 percent were completed by the director of athletics, 20 percent by athletic trainers, 16 percent by an assistant or associate director of athletics and 4 percent by individuals designated as "other."

This report is presented as follows:

1. Summary of the 2007 results of the NCAA Drug Education/Testing Survey
2. Tables of the 2007 results of the NCAA Drug Education/Testing Survey by division.
3. Comparison summary of results of the NCAA Drug Education/Testing Survey, 2001-07.

Results

Drug/Alcohol Education Program

Sixty-five percent of the Division III schools that responded to the survey were also participating in the drug education and drug testing pilot program for Division III. Seventy-two percent of the pilot program schools were participating in the education and testing phases, while the other 28% were participating in the education portion only of the pilot program.

The 2007 results show that 65 percent all institutions responding to the survey have in operation a drug/alcohol education program for student athletes, a 6 percent decrease from 2005. Ten percent of the respondents are actively planning a drug education program, while 25 percent reported having no drug education program. Only 28 percent of the respondents currently have in place a drug/alcohol education program for coaches and staff, with eight percent actively planning such a program. Institutions indicated spending an average (mean) amount of \$3,113 on drug education for student-athletes.

When asked which NCAA drug/alcohol education programs their institutions had utilized, responses were as follows: Health and Safety Speakers Grant Program is utilized most often at 67 percent; the NCAA Champs/Life Skills program is used by 62 percent, 31 percent used the CHOICES alcohol education grant , 24 percent attended an APPLE (Promoting Student Athlete Wellness and Substance Abuse Prevention) conference, and TEAM (Techniques for Effective Alcohol Management) was used least often at six percent.

Thirty-four percent indicated they would like to utilize TEAM in the future and 30 percent expressed an interest in the CHOICES grant.

Divisional data show that there are differences among divisions in the use of drug-education programs. For example, approximately 82 percent of Division I institutions report currently operating drug-education programs, while 62 percent and 52 percent respectively of Division II and III institutions report operating such programs for student-athletes. Overall, the percentages for all divisions have increased since earlier surveys, although they have decreased since the 2005 survey.

When asked what components were included in their drug/alcohol program for student-athletes the two most popular responses were “referral to campus or community agency for treatment if necessary” (95%) and "work with other departments on campus” (92%). The other components were utilized as follows:

- Outside speakers brought in for special presentations (89%)
- Educational sessions for the entire body of student-athletes (76%)
- Educational sessions specifically regarding banned nutritional supplements (70%)
- Educational sessions for each team (67%)
- Alcohol/drug abuse evaluations (61%)
- Peer education programs from other student-athletes (42%).

Forty-two percent of institutions reported holding drug/alcohol educational sessions for student-athletes once a year, and 41 percent each term. Six percent held sessions twice a term, one percent monthly, one percent more often than once a month and 10 percent “other.” Drug /alcohol educational programs were paid for from athletics department budgets 76% of the time, 45% through grants, 31 % with other university department budgets or 11% other sources.

Forty-two percent of the recruited student-athletes were provided with a copy of the banned substance policy at the time of matriculation/orientation. Eighteen percent of the student-athletes were provided a copy of the policy on the first day of practice, while nine percent were provided during recruiting and five percent at the letter of intent signing. Twenty-four percent of institutions provided the policy to the recruited student-athletes at some other time. Two percent of institutions indicated not providing a banned substance policy to student-athletes.

Nutritional Supplements Provided

Overall, 23 percent of institutions indicated providing nutritional supplements to the student-athletes, a fifteen percent decrease from 2005. Divisional differences in this area were significant. Eighty-two percent of Division I-FBS institutions provided nutritional supplements to the student-athletes, while only one percent of Division III schools provided supplements. Most of Division II and all Division III institutions spent less than \$5000 annually on nutritional supplements, while 27 percent of Division I-FBS institutions spent over \$50,000.

Division I institutions provided the most different types of nutritional supplements to the student-athletes while Division II and III institutions generally only one provided one product in each nutritional supplement category (e.g. carbohydrate boosters, energy bars, electrolyte drinks, vitamins and minerals.) Sixty-four

percent of Division I institutions consult a sports dietician, while only 25% of Division II and 27% of Division III schools consult a sports dietician.

Most institutions (77%) did not find current NCAA regulations limiting in their ability to provide adequate food to meet the nutritional needs of the student-athletes. Divisional differences in this area were significant. Sixty-three percent of Division I-FBS institutions did find NCAA regulations limiting, particularly the nutritional supplement and training table meals legislation.

Alcohol Use During Recruitment Visits

Alcohol use during recruitment visits is at the discretion of the institution. Eighty-four percent of the institutions reported having a policy of no alcohol permitted during recruitment visits, a three percent decrease from 87 percent in 2005. Eleven percent of the institutions reported having no policy, with alcohol use being left to the discretion of the recruiter. Alcohol is specifically permitted at private parties at only one percent of the institutions. Four percent of the respondents indicated having “other” policies.

Institutional Drug-Testing

The 2007 results show that 50 percent of responding institutions report currently having a drug-testing program for student-athletes, a two percent decrease from 2005. Forty-four percent report not having a drug-testing program for student-athletes and six percent reported actively planning to implement such a program. A divisional breakdown of the institutions with drug-testing programs is as follows:

- Division I-FBS 94%
- Division I-FCS 71%
- Division I-No FB 78%
- Division II 56%
- Division III 18%.

The following are characteristics of the drug-testing programs at NCAA member institutions. Percentages are based on the number institutions that have drug testing programs:

- Thirty-one percent of the respondents drug test spirit group members (e.g. cheerleaders);
- Twenty-one percent of the respondents drug test student-athlete personnel (e.g. managers);
- Ninety-seven percent of the respondents provide the student-athlete with a written policy on drug testing;
- Ninety-five percent of the respondents have student-athletes sign a waiver or consent form pertaining to the drug testing;
- Ninety-five percent of institutions randomly select student-athletes for drug testing, 84 percent drug test with reasonable suspicion and 38 percent test the entire team;
- Drugs included in institutions’ testing programs were (in descending order): marijuana 97%, cocaine 94%, amphetamine 91%, narcotics 76%, ephedrine 61%, ecstasy 59%, diuretics/masking agents 52%, anabolic agents 43%, alcohol 28%, and “others” 10%;
- Nearly 100 percent of the institutions drug testing utilized urine samples as the method of testing;
- Thirteen percent of the urine samples were unobserved;
- Institutions sent an average of 283 samples for testing annually at an average cost of \$9,538;

- Twelve percent of the samples were tested for anabolic steroids;
- The student-athlete, director of athletics, coach and athletic trainer are the first four people consistently told of any positive drug test;
- Referral to a drug counselor, increased testing and discussion with coach are the first actions taken after both the first and second positive tests. Other common actions after the first test include: drug education program, discussion with trainer, discussion with team physician and suspension from the team (47%);
- Over 68 percent of the time, student-athletes may be suspended from the team after the second positive test, a four percent decrease from 2005;
- 80 percent of the time, student-athletes may be removed from the team by or after the third positive test.

Currently, 64 percent (a eight percent decrease from 2005) of respondents have a plan for treating and rehabilitating student-athletes found to have drug/alcohol dependency problems, while 28 percent do not and seven percent are actively creating such a plan.

Drug Testing Program

Currently, the NCAA year-round testing program does not screen for street drugs. When questioned if the NCAA should begin testing for THC (marijuana) in their year-round program instead of only at championships, 84 percent of respondents were in favor of such a test, while 16 percent were not. These numbers represent a five percent decrease in those favoring THC testing since 2005.

Currently the NCAA tests year-round sports in Divisions I and II only. When asked if the respondents favored a year-round testing in Division III, the Division III results were split; 64 percent in favor of year-round testing and 36 percent against. This was a nine percent increase since 2005 in the Division III schools favoring year round testing. Overall, 68 percent of respondents were in favor of year-round testing.

Only 50% of all institutions were in favor of the NCAA mandating that all institutions conduct their own drug-testing program. Seventy-two percent of all Division I- FBS institutions favored such a policy while only 37% of Division III institutions were in favor of a NCAA mandate.

Among the institutions favoring a mandate, most schools favored both street drugs (e.g. marijuana, heroin) and performance enhancing drugs (e.g. anabolic steroids, stimulants) being included in such a mandate.

Facility Alcohol Management

Eighty-six percent of the respondents have a policy concerning alcohol at athletics events while 13 percent do not. Ninety-two percent of the respondents do not allow alcoholic beverages to be sold at athletics events.

Among the institutions allowing alcohol to be sold at athletics events;

- 56% sold alcohol within athletics facilities,
- 20% sold alcohol in areas immediately surrounding athletics facilities,
- 24% allowed alcohol to be sold at private booster/alumni events by an athletics-affiliated organization

- 47% allowed alcohol to be sold at private booster/alumni events by institution or related organization (non-athletics department affiliated),
- 31% allowed tailgating on university property,
- 27% allowed tailgating off campus.

If alcohol was sold at athletics events, 44% of the venues were on campus, 36% were off campus and 20 of institutions who sold alcohol at athletics events sold the alcohol at venues both on and off campus.

Twelve percent of institutions allowed alcohol ads in signage at athletics events and 16 percent allowed alcohol ads in game programs. Twenty percent of institutions accept alcohol related corporate sponsorship for some type of athletics department events with a mean amount of \$25,965. Most institutions used alcohol funding from corporate sponsorship for the general operating budget and 39% used the money in educational campaigns.

The following pages contain the results of the survey in table format by division.

NCAA DRUG-EDUCATION/TESTING SURVEY 2007

Classification Breakdown and Response Rates:

Classification	Number of Returned Surveys	Within Division Percentages	Overall Percentages
I FBS	82	69%	13%
I FCS	82	69%	13%
I NO FB	58	62%	9%
II	158	54%	25%
III	247	56%	39%
Totals	627	59%	100%

Is your athletics program participating in the drug education and drug testing pilot program for Division III? (Division III only)

Response Choice	Division III Count	Division III Percentage
Yes	88	36%
No	159	64%
Total	247	100%

You have indicated that your institution is participating in the Division III drug education and drug testing pilot program. Is your institution receiving just education or education and NCAA drug testing?

Response Choice	Division III Count	Division III Percentage
Education only	25	28%
Education and NCAA drug testing	63	72%
Total	88	100%

The majority of people who completed and returned the survey were the directors of athletics. The category of athletic trainer was new this year causing the number of “other” respondents to decrease dramatically. The breakdown is as follows:

Position	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Director of Athletics	15/19%	41/50%	24/42%	97/62%	198/81%	375 (60%)
Asst./Assoc. Director of Athletics	31/38%	16/20%	21/37%	20/13%	10/4%	98 (16%)
Athletic Trainer	23/28%	20/28%	10/18%	38/24%	30/12%	121(20%)
Other	12/15%	5/6%	2/4%	2/1%	6/3%	27 (4%)

Survey Questionnaire Results

A. ALCOHOL TOBACCO AND OTHER DRUG EDUCATION PROGRAM

1. Does your athletics department currently have in operation a drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	70/89%	60/73%	47/87%	96/62%	126/52%	399(65%)
No	5/6%	17/21%	5/9%	45/29%	82/34%	154(25%)
No, but actively planning one	4/5%	5/6%	2/4%	15/10%	36/15%	62(10%)

2. Does your athletics department currently have in operation a drug/alcohol educational program for coaches and other staff?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	28/35%	24/29%	19/34%	39/25%	63/26%	173(28%)
No	45/57%	52/63%	36/64%	110/71%	149/62%	392(64%)
No, but actively planning one	6/8%	6/7%	1/2%	7/5%	30/12%	50(8%)

3. How much money does your athletics department spend annually on drug education for student-athletes?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total
Mean Amount Spent	\$10,992	\$3,231	\$3,704	\$1,652	\$1,498	\$3,113
Median amount Spent	\$5,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$750	\$1,000	\$1,000

4. Has your institution participated in any of the following NCAA programs in during the last five years? (More than one can apply.)

Yes

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
CHOICES alcohol-educ. grant	26/37%	27/35%	22/43%	42/28%	62/26%	179(31%)
Health & Safety Speakers Grant	47/64%	49/62%	40/77%	97/63%	166/69%	399(67%)
APPLE	23/32%	20/25%	18/37%	28/18%	52/22%	141(24%)
NCAA Champs/Life Skills Prog.	71/93%	65/82%	53/96%	86/57%	97/41%	372(62%)
TEAM	12/17%	5/7%	7/14%	5/3%	7/3%	36(6%)

No, but would like to.

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
CHOICES alcohol-educ. grant	20/28%	25/33%	13/26%	48/31%	70/30%	176(30%)
Health & Safety Speakers Grant	11/15%	16/20%	5/10%	27/18%	35/15%	94(16%)
APPLE	16/23%	26/33%	11/22%	54/36%	64/27%	171(29%)
NCAA Champs/Life Skills Prog.	0/0%	7/9%	1/2%	35/23%	40/17%	83(14%)
TEAM	22/32%	28/37%	17/35%	53/35%	76/33%	196(34%)

5. Does your institution provide nutritional supplements to your student-athletes?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	67/82%	39/48%	18/31%	15/10%	3/1%	142 (23%)
No	15/18%	43/52%	40/69%	143/96%	244/99%	485 (77%)

6. How many products in the following categories do you provide for the student-athletes?:
Carbohydrate boosters

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
1	22/47%	17/81%	6/60%	6/67%	2/100%	53 (60%)
2	15/32%	2/10%	2/20%	2/22%	-	21 (24%)
3	6/13%	1/5%	-	1/11%	-	8 (9%)
4	1/1%	1/2%	-	-	-	1 (1%)
5	-	-	-	-	-	0 (0%)
5 or more	3/6%	1/5%	2/20%	-	-	6 (7%)

7. How many products in the following categories do you provide for the student-athletes?: Energy bars

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
1	25/43%	16/59%	6/60%	4/57%	3/100%	54 (51%)
2	15/26%	3/11%	2/20%	2/29%	-	22 (21%)
3	8/14%	2/7%	1/10%	-	-	11 (11%)
4	6/10%	-	-	-	-	6 (6%)
5	-	-	-	-	-	0 (0%)
5 or more	4/7%	6/22%	1/10%	1/14%	-	12 (11%)

8. How many products in the following categories do you provide for the student-athletes?:
Carbohydrate electrolyte drinks (i.e POWERade, Gatorade)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
1	34/55%	25/64%	5/33%	8/57%	1/50%	73 (55%)
2	15/24%	5/13%	4/27%	2/14%	-	26 (20%)
3	5/8%	2/5%	1/7%	2/14%	-	10 (8%)
4	2/3%	1/3%	-	-	-	3 (2%)
5	2/3%	1/3%	-	-	1/50%	4 (3%)
5 or more	4/7%	5/13%	5/33%	2/14%	-	16 (12%)

9. How many products in the following categories do you provide for the student-athletes?:
Vitamins and Minerals

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
1	13/33%	10/56%	6/67%	6/86%	2/100%	37 (49%)
2	11/28%	3/17%	1/11%	-	-	15 (20%)
3	6/15%	3/17%	1/11%	-	-	10 (13%)
4	4/10%	1/6%	-	1/14%	-	6 (8%)
5	1/3%	-	-	-	-	1 (1%)
5 or more	4/10%	1/6%	1/11%	-	-	6 (8%)

10. How much does your athletics department spend on nutritional supplements annually?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Less than \$1000	2/3%	5/13%	4/25%	7/47%	2/67%	20(15%)
\$1000 - \$5000	9/15%	16/41%	9/56%	6/40%	1/33%	41(31%)
\$5001 - \$15,000	16/26%	14/36%	3/19%	1/7%	-	34 (25%)
\$15,001 - \$30,000	9/15%	2/5%	-	1/7%	-	12 (9%)
\$30,001 - \$50,000	9/15%	2/5%	-	-	-	11 (8%)
\$50,001 - \$75,000	4/7%	-	-	-	-	4 (3%)
More than \$75,000	12/20%	-	-	-	-	12 (9%)

11. Do you consult with a sports dietician?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	66/85%	38/48%	34/61%	39/25%	65/27%	242 (39%)
No	12/15%	42/53%	22/39%	117/75%	180/74%	373 (61%)

12. What if any current NCAA regulations limit your ability to provide adequate food to meet the nutritional needs of the student-athletes?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Do not find current legislation limiting.	27/37%	49/66%	44/83%	120/83%	195/87%	435 (77%)
Meals in conjunction with home competition	15/21%	8/11%	2/4%	9/7%	12/5%	46 (8%)
Meals in conjunction with away-from home competition	12/16%	10/14%	1/2%	12/8%	14/6%	49 (9%)
Training table meals	22/30%	13/18%	3/6%	3/2%	7/3%	48 (9%)
Nutritional supplement legislation	30/41%	7/10%	3/6%	-	4/2%	44 (8%)
Other	7/10%	2/3%	3/6%	10/7%	13/6%	35 (6%)

13. Which of the following is part of your drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes?
(More than one can apply.)

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Educ. Sessions for each team						
Mandatory	55/72%	52/66%	28/52%	67/44%	95/40%	297 (50%)
Voluntary	13/17%	8/10%	11/20%	26/17%	46/20%	104 (17%)
Not Offered	8/11%	19/24%	15/28%	58/38%	95/40%	195 (33%)
Educ. Sessions for all SA's						
Mandatory	52/68%	43/54%	44/84%	100/65%	107/45%	346 (57%)
Voluntary	11/15%	12/15%	5/10%	26/17%	59/25%	113 (19%)
Not Offered	13/17%	24/30%	3/6%	29/19%	73/31%	142 (24%)
Outside speakers for special presentations						
Mandatory	55/71%	47/60%	51/93%	105/67%	130/55%	388 (64%)
Voluntary	15/20%	21/27%	2/4%	30/19%	82/35%	150 (25%)
Not Offered	7/9%	11/14%	2/4%	21/14%	25/11%	66 (11%)
Peer education programs from other student athletes						
Mandatory	13/17%	9/12%	10/19%	11/7%	20/9%	63 (11%)
Voluntary	25/33%	22/29%	13/25%	47/31%	72/32%	179 (31%)
Not Offered	39/51%	44/59%	30/57%	93/62%	135/60%	341 (59%)
Alcohol/drug abuse screening and evaluations						
Mandatory	60/78%	35/44%	34/65%	52/34%	42/18%	223 (38%)
Voluntary	5/7%	17/22%	9/17%	42/27%	64/28%	137 (23%)
Not Offered	12/16%	27/34%	9/17%	61/39%	125/54%	234 (39%)
Educ. Sessions specifically regarding banned nutritional supplements						
Mandatory	50/65%	41/54%	31/59%	80/52%	94/40%	296(50%)
Voluntary	16/21%	16/21%	12/23%	25/16%	52/22%	121 (20%)
Not Offered	11/14%	19/25%	10/19%	49/32%	89/38%	178 (30%)
Referral to campus or community agency for treatment if necessary						
Mandatory	64/82%	60/76%	41/77%	103/67%	121/51%	389(64%)
Voluntary	13/17%	14/18%	12/23%	45/29%	103/43%	187 (31%)
Not Offered	1/1%	5/6%	-	7/5%	15/6%	28 (5%)
Work w/ other depts. on campus						
Mandatory	49/65%	49/62%	38/69%	92/60%	132/55%	360 (59%)
Voluntary	24/32%	25/32%	14/26%	47/31%	86/36%	196 (33%)
Not Offered	3/4%	5/6%	3/6%	14/9%	21/9%	46 (8%)

14. How is your drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes funded?
(more than one can apply)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Athletics dept. budget	73/94%	64/82%	49/89%	109/72%	159/68%	454 (76%)
Other univ. dept. budgets	8/10%	18/23%	15/27%	51/34%	90/39%	182 (31%)
Grants	17/22%	32/41%	33/60%	64/42%	124/53%	270 (45%)
Other	4/5%	9/12%	9/16%	19/13%	26/11%	67 (11%)

15. How often are drug/alcohol educational sessions held for student-athletes?

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Once a year	28/36%	32/42%	16/31%	69/45%	106/45%	251 (42%)
Once a semester/term	37/47%	38/49%	25/48%	56/37%	88/37%	244 (41%)
Twice a semester/term	3/4%	2/3%	8/15%	9/6%	13/6%	35 (6%)
Once a month	1/1%	-	1/2%	1/1%	-	3 (1%)
More than once a month	1/1%	1/1%	-	-	1/0%	3 (1%)
Other	8/10%	4/5%	2/4%	18/12%	27/12%	59 (10%)

16 When do you provide a copy of the banned substances policy to the recruited student-athletes?

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
During recruiting	12/16%	7/9%	14/26%	22/14%	-	55 (9%)
Letter of intent signing	8/10%	2/3%	4/7%	17/11%	-	31 (5%)
At time of matriculation/orientation	41/53%	43/54%	17/31%	62/40%	93/38%	256 (42%)
First day of practice	4/5%	7/9%	7/13%	20/13%	70/29%	108 (18%)
Other	12/16%	17/22%	13/24%	30/19%	76/31%	148 (24%)
Policy not provided	-	3/4%	-	4/3%	5/2%	12 (2%)

17. What is your school's policy on alcohol use during student-athlete recruitment visits?

NCAA Program	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
No policy (discretion of recruiter)	4/5%	6/8%	1/2%	24/15%	31/13%	66 (11%)
Alcohol permitted at private parties (on or off campus) only	1/1%	-	1/2%	1/1%	1/0%	4 (1%)
No alcohol permitted during entire visit (zero tolerance)	65/88%	70/87%	52/95%	124/80%	202/82%	513 (84%)
Other policy	4/5%	3/4%	1/2%	7/5%	11/5%	26 (4%)

B. INSTITUTIONAL DRUG-TESTING PROGRAM

1. Does your athletics department currently conduct a drug-testing program for student-athletes? (*Actively planning means that you have already held organization meetings and developed preliminary plans for such a program.)
(If yes, answer questions B2-B10; If no, skip to B11)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	77/94%	58/71%	45/78%	88/56%	44/18%	312 (50%)
No	4/5%	18/22%	12/21%	57/36%	184/75%	275 (44%)
No, but actively planning one	1/1%	6/7%	1/2%	13/8%	19/8%	40 (6%)

The following questions (2 - 12) were answered only by those schools currently utilizing a drug-testing program for student-athletes. Percentages are based upon the number of responses to each question not to the total number of surveys returned.

2. How much money does your athletics department spend on drug testing for student-athletes?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total
Mean Money Spent	\$27,977 (n=65)	\$5,702 (n=46)	\$5,545 (n=40)	\$2,225 (n=84)	\$3,176 (n=39)	\$9,538 (n=274)
Median Money Spent	\$18,000	\$4,250	\$4,850	\$1,750	\$2,000	\$4,000

3. Approximately how many samples do you send annually for drug testing?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total
Mean Samples Sent	815 (n=66)	174 (n=49)	143 (n=40)	69 (n=77)	66 (n=36)	283 (n=268)
Median Samples Sent	450	150	109	50	55	100

4. What percent of samples sent are tested for anabolic steroids?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Mean Anabolic Percent	10% (n=62)	12% (n=48)	9% (n=38)	16% (n=85)	11% (n=30)	12% (n=263)
Median Anabolic Percent	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

5. For what drugs do you test? (More than one can apply.)

Drug Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Alcohol	21/29%	14/25%	12/28%	25/29%	11/26%	83 (28%)
Cocaine	73/100%	55/97%	42/98%	79/92%	36/84%	285 (94%)
Marijuana	72/99%	56/98%	43/100%	85/99%	36/84%	292 (97%)
Amphetamines and other stimulants	71/97%	51/90%	41/95%	78/91%	35/81%	276 (91%)
Anabolic agents	46/63%	24/42%	9/21%	31/36%	21/49%	131 (43%)
Diuretics/masking agents	51/70%	29/51%	24/56%	35/41%	17/40%	156 (52%)
Ecstasy	47/64%	32/56%	27/63%	51/59%	22/51%	179 (59%)
Ephedrine	55/75%	27/47%	31/72%	48/56%	22/51%	183 (61%)
Narcotics	60/82%	44/77%	37/86%	64/74%	23/54%	228 (76%)
Others	4/6%	8/14%	2/5%	5/6%	11/26%	30 (10%)

6. What is your current method of drug testing at your institution? (Check all that apply.)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Hair	9/12%	-	-	-	-	9 (3%)
Urine sample -observed	68/91%	48/84%	35/80%	76/87%	37/84%	264 (86%)
Urine sample -unobserved	8/11%	11/19%	8/18%	8/9%	6/14%	41 (13%)
Saliva	1/1%	1/2%	-	3/3%	1/2%	6 (2%)
Other	1/1%	2/4%	-	6/7%	4/9%	13 (4%)

7. Are student-athlete personnel (e.g. student managers, student athletic trainers, student equipment managers) subject to drug testing?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes, mandatory	11/15%	11/19%	4/9%	20/23%	8/18%	54 (18%)
Yes, voluntary	1/1%	3/5%	-	1/1%	4/9%	9 (3%)
No	64/84%	43/75%	40/91%	66/76%	32/73%	245 (80%)

8. Are spirit group members (e.g. dance team, cheer squad, mascot) subject to drug testing?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes, mandatory	30/40%	15/26%	5/11%	31/36%	9/21%	90 (29%)
Yes, voluntary	1/1%	3/5%	-	1/1%	2/5%	7 (2%)
No	45/59%	40/69%	39/89%	55/63%	32/74%	211 (69%)

9. Is there a specific written institutional policy on drug-testing given to the student-athlete?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	76/100%	56/98%	44/100%	81/95%	40/91%	297 (97%)
No	-	1/2%	-	4/5%	4/9%	9 (3%)

10. Do student-athletes sign a waiver or consent form pertaining to institutional drug testing?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	74/97%	55/97%	42/96%	83/95%	39/89%	293 (95%)
No	2/3%	2/4%	2/5%	4/6%	5/11%	15 (5%)

11. What type of student-athlete selection methods is utilized? (More than one can apply.)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Random	72/95%	57/98%	44/100%	77/89%	41/95%	291 (95%)
Reasonable suspicion	71/93%	52/90%	36/82%	73/84%	27/63%	259 (84%)
Entire team testing	48/63%	23/40%	20/46%	21/24%	6/14%	118 (38%)
Other	10/13%	6/10%	2/5%	5/6%	2/5%	25 (8%)

12A. Who is informed of the results of a first positive test? (More than one may apply.)

Informed of Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Student-Athlete	73/97%	57/98%	44/100%	83/95%	39/89%	296(96%)
Athletics Trainer	47/63%	50/86%	34/77%	78/90%	32/73%	241 (78%)
CEO of Univ.	8/11%	10/17%	9/4%	18/21%	10/23%	50 (16%)
Team Doctor	51/68%	26/45%	21/48%	15/17%	6/14%	119 (39%)
Dir. of Athletics	64/85%	57/93%	43/98%	86/99%	40/91%	290 (94%)
Coach	74/99%	56/97%	39/89%	84/97%	35/80%	288 (94%)
FAR	4/5%	6/10%	5/11%	15/17%	3/7%	33(11%)
Dir. of Compliance	20/27%	19/33%	17/39%	36/41%	7/16%	99 (32%)
Counselor	34/45%	28/48%	23/53%	46/53%	20/46%	151 (49%)
Parents	39/52%	28/48%	16/36%	35/40%	9/21%	127 (41%)
Others	12/16%	7/12%	8/18%	10/12%	12/27%	49 (16%)

12B. Who is informed of the results of a second positive test?

Informed of Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Student-Athlete	74/99%	57/98%	44/100%	82/97%	37/88%	294 (97%)
Athletics Trainer	53/71%	51/88%	36/82%	77/91%	32/76%	249 (82%)
CEO of Univ.	12/16%	14/24%	6/14%	25/29%	10/24%	67 (22%)
Team Doctor	54/72%	28/48%	24/55%	19/22%	9/21%	134 (44%)
Dir. of Athletics	69/92%	58/100%	43/98%	84/99%	40/95%	294 (97%)
Coach	74/99%	55/95%	43/98%	83/98%	35/83%	290 (95%)
FAR	5/7%	12/21%	6/14%	21/25%	7/17%	51 (17%)
Dir. of Compliance	24/32%	29/50%	21/48%	45/53%	10/24%	129 (42%)
Counselor	38/51%	33/57%	23/52%	52/61%	22/52%	168 (55%)
Parents	51/68%	37/64%	26/59%	49/58%	10/24%	173 (57%)
Others	11/15%	6/10%	8/18%	13/15%	13/31%	51 (17%)

12C. Who is informed of the results of a third positive test?

Informed of Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Student-Athlete	71/99%	48/92%	40/95%	63/85%	33/85%	255 (91%)
Athletics Trainer	51/71%	45/87%	33/79%	62/84%	29/74%	220 (79%)
CEO of Univ.	19/26%	24/46%	18/43%	32/43%	16/41%	109 (39%)
Team Doctor	53/74%	26/50%	26/62%	22/30%	7/18%	134 (48%)
Dir. of Athletics	70/97%	48/92%	40/95%	63/85%	33/85%	254 (91%)
Coach	69/96%	47/90%	38/91%	65/88%	30/80%	249 (89%)
FAR	14/19%	13/25%	9/21%	26/35%	8/21%	70 (25%)
Dir. of Compliance	28/39%	26/50%	25/60%	42/47%	14/36%	135 (48%)
Counselor	37/51%	26/50%	21/50%	42/57%	21/54%	147 (53%)
Parents	49/68%	34/65%	27/64%	38/51%	12/31%	160 (57%)
Others	9/13%	9/17%	11/26%	20/27%	14/36%	63 (22%)

13A. What action is taken on the first positive test?

Action following Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Increased testing	70/93%	50/86%	42/96%	61/70%	24/55%	247 (80%)
Discuss w/ physician	40/56%	15/26%	21/48%	4/5%	1/2%	83 (27%)
Discuss w/ trainer	36/48%	38/66%	26/59%	50/58%	18/41%	168 (55%)
Discuss w/ coach	63/84%	50/87%	33/75%	62/71%	27/61%	235 (76%)
Drug ed. program	52/69%	33/57%	30/68%	57/66%	20/46%	192 (62%)
Drug counselor	70/93%	50/86%	41/93%	66/76%	27/61%	254 (83%)
Intrasquad discipline	17/23%	20/35%	10/23%	23/25%	9/21%	78 (25%)
Suspension from team	18/24%	26/45%	20/46%	56/64%	24/55%	144 (47%)
Removal from team	2/3%	1/2%	2/5%	5/6%	6/14%	16 (5%)
Nothing	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	14/19%	8/14%	4/9%	13/15%	13/30%	52 (17%)

13B. What action is taken on the second positive test?

Action following Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Increased testing	69/92%	42/72%	36/82%	47/55%	19/45%	213 (70%)
Discuss w/ physician	44/59%	21/36%	23/52%	9/11%	4/10%	101 (33%)
Discuss w/ trainer	39/52%	38/66%	27/61%	43/51%	14/33%	161(53%)
Discuss w/ coach	65/87%	47/81%	30/68%	55/65%	24/57%	221 (73%)
Drug ed. program	53/71%	34/59%	32/73%	44/52%	22/52%	185 (61%)
Drug counselor	69/92%	47/81%	38/86%	52/61%	25/60%	231 (76%)
Intrasquad discipline	22/29%	18/31%	15/34%	17/22%	6/14%	80 (26%)
Suspension from team	69/92%	39/67%	32/73%	49/58%	7/41%	206 (68%)
Removal from team	7/9%	19/33%	11/25%	34/40%	20/48%	91 (30%)
Nothing	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	13/17%	10/17%	5/11%	12/14%	14/33%	54 (18%)

13C. What action is taken on the third positive test?

Action following Positive Test	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Increased testing	26/36%	10/20%	41/34%	14/18%	8/21%	72 (26%)
Discuss w/ physician	28/39%	8/16%	13/32%	8/11%	4/11%	61 (21%)
Discuss w/ trainer	22/31%	19/37%	16/39%	18/24%	12/32%	87 (31%)
Discuss w/ coach	43/60%	18/35%	19/46%	20/26%	15/40%	115 (41%)
Drug ed. program	23/32%	9/18%	15/37%	10/13%	10/26%	67 (24%)
Drug counselor	35/49%	16/31%	19/46%	16/21%	11/29%	97 (35%)
Intrasquad discipline	9/13%	2/4%	5/12%	2/3%	2/5%	20 (7%)
Suspension from team	14/19%	5/10%	7/17%	7/9%	5/13%	38 (14%)
Removal from team	66/92%	44/86%	36/88%	56/74%	21/55%	223 (80%)
Nothing	-	-	-	2/3%	2/5%	4/1%
Other	9/13%	9/18%	7/17%	8/24%	13/34%	56 (20%)

16. Do you have a policy for treating and rehabilitating student-athletes found to have drug/alcohol dependency problems?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	62/78%	56/69%	46/82%	100/64%	134/55%	398 (64%)
No	10/13%	16/19%	9/16%	41/26%	100/41%	176 (29%)
No, but actively planning one	8/10%	9/11%	1/2%	15/10%	11/5%	44 (7%)

C. NCAA DRUG-TESTING PROGRAM

1. Currently, the NCAA testing program screens for marijuana (THC) only at NCAA championships. Is it the position of your institution that marijuana (THC) testing should be added to the NCAA year-round program?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	54/70%	65/81%	52/91%	145/93%	192/81%	508 (84%)
No	23/30%	15/19%	5/9%	11/7%	46/19%	100 (16%)

2. Currently the NCAA tests year-round in all sports in Divisions I and II only. Does your institution favor a year-round testing program in Division III?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	41/65%	43/63%	31/66%	115/80%	152/64%	382 (68%)
No	22/35%	25/37%	16/34%	29/20%	87/36%	179 (32%)

3. Would your institution be in support of the NCAA mandating that all institutions conduct their own drug-testing program?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	59/72%	38/46%	35/60%	89/56%	92/37%	313 (50%)
No	23/28%	44/54%	23/40%	69/44%	155/63%	314 (50%)

4. You indicated that your institution would support an NCAA mandate that all institutions conduct their own drug-testing program. Which drugs should be included in such a mandate?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Street drugs (e.g. Marijuana, heroin)	9/16%	10/26%	10/29%	14/16%	6/7%	49 (16%)
Performance enhancing drugs (e.g. anabolic steroids, stimulants)	1/2%	1/3%	-	1/1%	1/1%	4 (1%)
Both	47/83%	27/71%	25/71%	74/83%	84/92%	257 (83%)

D. FACILITY ALCOHOL MANAGEMENT

1. Does your athletic department have a policy concerning alcohol at athletic events?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	67/89%	61/80%	45/79%	132/85%	220/91%	525 (86%)
No	8/11%	15/20%	12/21%	23/15%	23/10%	81 (13%)

2. Does your institution allow alcoholic beverages to be sold at athletic events?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	18/22%	12/15%	10/17%	7/4%	2/1%	49(8%)
No	64/78%	70/85%	48/83%	151/96%	245/99%	578 (92%)

3. You indicated that your institution allows alcoholic beverages to be sold at athletics events. At which events or venues are alcoholic beverages sold? (Percentages reported are calculated only from those respondents who answered yes to question 2 regarding the sale of alcoholic beverages.)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Within athletics facilities	12/80%	6/50%	4/40%	3/43%	-	25 (56%)
Areas immediately surrounding athletics facilities	5/33%	2/17%	1/10%	1/14%	-	9 (20%)
Private booster/alumni events – alcohol sold by an athletics-affiliated organization	2/13%	4/33%	3/30%	1/14%	1/100%	11 (24%)
Private booster/alumni events – alcohol sold/provided by institution or related organization (non-athletics department affiliated)	8/53%	4/33%	4/40%	4/57%	1/100%	21 (47%)
Tailgating on university property	5/33%	5/42%	1/10%	2/28%	1/100%	14 (31%)
Tailgating off campus	6/40%	3/25%	1/100%	2/29%	-	12 (27%)

4. If alcoholic beverages are sold at events, are the arenas/stadiums on or off campus? (Percentages reported are calculated only from those respondents who answered yes to question 2 regarding the sale of alcoholic beverages.)

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
On-campus	9/60%	6/50%	4/40%	1/14%	-	20(44%)
Off-campus	2/13%	3/25%	4/40%	6/86%	1/100%	16 (36%)
Both	4/27%	3/25%	2/20%	-	-	9 (20%)

5. Does your institution allow alcoholic beverages to be advertised at athletic events?

-allow alcohol ads in signage

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	19/25%	17/22%	14/26%	22/14%	4/2%	76 (12%)
No	58/75%	62/79%	41/75%	134/86%	240/98%	535 (88%)

-allow alcohol ads in game programs

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	29/38%	21/28%	13/24%	23/15%	10/4%	96 (16%)
No	47/62%	55/72%	42/76%	131/85%	235/96%	510 (84%)

6. Do you accept alcohol related corporate sponsorship for any athletics department expenses?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Yes	26/32%	23/28%	21/36%	36/23%	17/7%	123 (20%)
No	56/68%	59/72%	37/64%	122/77%	230/93%	504 (80%)

- If yes what amount?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Mean Amount	\$81,286	\$10,722	\$64,500	\$7,088	\$3,429	\$25,965
Median Amount	\$50,000	\$10,000	\$3,250	\$6,000	\$2,000	\$6,000

7. For what purpose is this alcohol funding used?

Response Choice	I FBS	I FCS	I NO FB	II	III	Total / (%)
Educational campaigns	6/35%	6/27%	9/53%	11/36%	8/53%	40 (39%)
Other	11/65%	16/73%	8/47%	20/65%	7/47%	62 (61%)

*Items most commonly listed under other included general operating budgets, scholarships, fundraising.

COMPARISON SUMMARY BY YEAR OF RESULTS OF DRUG-EDUCATION/TESTING SURVEYS

NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports

DRUG/ALCOHOL EDUCATION PROGRAM

	2001	2003	2005	2007
1. Does your athletics department currently have in operation a drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes?				
Yes	66%	67%	71%	65%
No	23%	26%	22%	25%
Plan*	12%	7%	7%	10%
2. Does your athletics department currently have in operation a drug/alcohol educational program for coaches and other staff?				
Yes	26%	29%	24%	28%
No	66%	64%	70%	64%
Plan*	8%	7%	6%	8%
3. Which of the following NCAA programs has your institution participated in? (more than one can apply)				
CHOICES grant	20%	26%	25%	30%
Betty Ford Center Program	21%	20%	N/A	N/A
Health and Safety (Sports Sciences) Speakers Grant Program	37%	51%	63%	67%
A.P.P.L.E.	29%	36%	24%	24%
NCAA Life Skills Program	48%	58%	61%	62%
TEAM (Techniques for Effective Alcohol Management)	4%	6%	3%	6%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
6. Which of the following is part of your drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes?***				
Educational sessions for each individual team	44%	42%	69%	57%
Educational sessions for the entire body of student-athletes	54%	61%	79%	77%
Outside speakers brought in for special presentations	74%	78%	86%	89%
Peer education programs from other student-athletes	19%	16%	36%	42%
Alcohol/drug abuse evaluations	26%	28%	60%	61%
Educational sessions about supplement use	33%	40%	60%	70%
Referral to campus or community agency for treatment if necessary	79%	81%	96%	95%
Work with other departments on campus	64%	65%	91%	93%
<i>**2005 and 2007 responses include both mandatory and voluntary programs</i>				
7. What is your school's policy on alcohol use during student athlete recruitment visits?				
No policy (discretion of recruiter)	22%	21%	8%	11%
Alcohol permitted at private parties (on- or off-campus) only	1%	1%	1%	1%
No alcohol permitted during the entire recruitment visit (zero tolerance)	68%	71%	87%	84%
Other policies	10%	8%	4%	4%
8. How is your drug/alcohol educational program for student-athletes funded? (more than one can apply)				
Athletics department budget	80%	77%	75%	76%
Other university department budgets	32%	32%	35%	31%
Grants	39%	49%	52%	45%
Other	9%	0%	8%	11%
9. How often are drug/alcohol educational sessions held for student-athletes?				
Once a year	44%	43%	39%	42%
Once a semester/term	35%	38%	46%	41%
More than once a semester/term but less than once a month(twice a semester in 2001 & 2005, 2007)	7%	8%	6%	6%
Once a month or more	1%	2%	0%	2%
Other	11%	10%	9%	10%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
10. When do you provide a copy of the banned substance policy to the recruited student-athletes?				
During recruiting	4%	2%	19%	9%
Letter of Intent signing	2%	2%	9%	5%
At time of matriculation/orientation	51%	54%	28%	42%
First day of practice	21%	25%	18%	18%
None of the above	22%	19%	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A	24%	24%
Policy not provided	N/A	N/A	2%	2%

INSTITUTIONAL DRUG-TESTING PROGRAM

1. Does your athletics department currently conduct a drug-testing program for student-athletes?				
Yes	49%	47%	52%	50%
No	46%	47%	43%	44%
Plan*	5%	6%	5%	6%
2. Approximately how many samples do you send annually for drug testing?				
Total		205	242	287
3. What percent of samples sent are tested for anabolic steroids?				
Total		33%	33%	12%
4. For what drugs do you test? (more than one can apply)				
Alcohol	31%	31%	24%	27%
Cocaine	95%	96%	97%	94%
Marijuana	99%	98%	98%	97%
Amphetamines	92%	96%	95%	91%
Anabolic steroids	52%	43%	42%	43%
Diuretics	38%	47%	36%	52%
Ecstasy	47%	64%	60%	59%
Ephedrine	45%	55%	56%	61%
Other drugs	33%	32%	17%	9%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
5. What is your current method of drug testing at your institution (Check all that apply)?				
Hair	1%	2%	4%	3%
Urine sample (both observed and unobserved in 2007)	100%	99%	99%	99%
Saliva	1%	2%	5%	2%
Other	1%	1%	1%	4%
6. Are student-athlete personnel (e.g. managers, athletic trainers, equipment managers) tested along with student-athletes?*				
(Example changed form cheerleaders in 2003 version)				
Yes (both mandatory and voluntary in 2007)	34%	34%	22%	20%
No	66%	66%	78%	80%
7. Is there a specific written policy on drug testing given to the student-athlete?				
Yes	99%	97%	99%	97%
No	2%	3%	1%	3%
8. Do student-athletes sign a waiver or consent form pertaining to drug testing?				
Yes	99%	98%	98%	95%
No	1%	2%	2%	5%
10. What type of student-athlete selection methods are utilized? (more than one can apply)				
Random	90%	92%	92%	94%
Probable cause	58%	55%	N/A	N/A
Reasonable suspicion	68%	70%	86%	84%
Entire team testing	N/A	N/A	38%	38%
Other	17%	16%	11%	8%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
11A. Who is informed of the results of the first positive test?***				
Student-athlete	97%	91%	99%	96%
Athletics trainer	78%	79%	84%	78%
CEO of the university	10%	11%	19%	16%
Team physician	48%	45%	43%	39%
Athletics director	90%	94%	95%	94%
Coach	86%	88%	92%	94%
FAR	3%	6%	10%	11%
Dir. of Compliance	18%	21%	29%	32%
Counselors	51%	52%	58%	49%
Parents	42%	44%	50%	41%
Other	12%	16%	16%	16%

11B. Who is informed of the results of the second positive test?***				
Student-athlete	98%	97%	99%	97%
Athletics trainer	80%	80%	85%	82%
CEO of the university	15%	19%	24%	22%
Team physician	50%	48%	49%	44%
Athletics director	96%	96%	97%	97%
Coach	97%	96%	96%	95%
FAR	6%	9%	17%	17%
Dir. of Compliance	26%	26%	37%	42%
Counselors	60%	58%	61%	55%
Parents	63%	62%	64%	57%
Other	15%	19%	20%	17%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
11C. Who is informed of the results of the third positive test?***				
Student-athlete	98%	98%	99%	91%
Athletics trainer	83%	79%	87%	79%
CEO of the university	28%	27%	36%	39%
Team physician	56%	51%	51%	48%
Athletics director	99%	95%	97%	91%
Coach	99%	98%	97%	89%
FAR	3%	14%	24%	25%
Dir. of Compliance	32%	37%	47%	48%
Counselors	58%	56%	60%	53%
Parents	69%	65%	65%	57%
Other	16%	20%	20%	23%

12A. What action is taken on the first positive test?***				
Increased testing	N/A	N/A	86%	80%
Discuss with team physician	36%	35%	86%	27%
Discuss with athletics trainer	57%	59%	63%	55%
Discuss with coach	76%	77%	80%	76%
Mandatory drug-education program	57%	63%	68%	62%
Referred to drug education counselor	81%	85%	85%	82%
Intrasquad discipline	23%	22%	31%	25%
Suspension from team	34%	44 %	46%	47%
Suspension from school	N/A	N/A	2%	5%
Removal from team	5%	4%	4%	4%
Nothing	-	1%	-	-
Other	18%	19%	13%	17%

	2001	2003	2005	2007
12B. What action is taken on the second positive test?***				
Increased testing	N/A	N/A	74%	70%
Discuss with team physician	39%	38%	36%	33%
Discuss with athletics trainer	56%	57%	58%	53%
Discuss with coach	80%	74%	76%	73%
Mandatory drug-education program	59%	59%	60%	61%
Referred to drug education counselor	81%	78%	78%	76%
Intrasquad discipline	24%	20%	25%	26%
Suspension from team	67%	71%	72%	68%
Removal from team	23%	24%	25%	30%
Nothing	-	-	-	-
Other	17%	15%	16%	18%
12C. What action is taken on the third positive test?***				
Increased testing	N/A	N/A	52%	26%
Discuss with team physician	37%	35%	31%	22%
Discuss with athletics trainer	45%	51%	51%	31%
Discuss with coach	68%	68%	63%	41%
Mandatory drug-education program	46%	42%	42%	24%
Referred to drug education counselor	59%	62%	52%	35%
Intrasquad discipline	16%	16%	16%	7%
Suspension from team	37%	72%	45%	14%
Removal from team	79%	86%	79%	80%
Nothing	1%	-	-	1%
Other	17%	20%	19%	20%
13. Do you have a plan for treating and rehabilitating student-athletes found to have drug/alcohol dependency problems?				
Yes	65%	76%	72%	64%
No	20%	19%	21%	28%
Planning*	4%	5%	7%	7%
N/R**	11%	-	-	-

NCAA DRUG-TESTING PROGRAM

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2007</u>
1. Currently, the NCAA testing program screens for marijuana (THC) only at NCAA championships. Do you believe that marijuana (THC) testing should be added to the NCAA <u>year-round</u> program?				
Yes		84%	89%	84%
No		16%	11%	16%

NOTE- Questions regarding the NCAA Drug Testing program have been significantly revised over the years to address current issues in drug testing. Therefore historical trends are not appropriate for most questions in this section.

FACILITY ALCOHOLMANAGEMENT

1. Does your athletic department have a policy concerning alcohol at athletic events?				
Yes	89%	88%	89%	87%
No	11%	13%	11%	13%
2. Does your institution allow alcoholic beverages to be sold at athletic events?				
Yes	13%	12%	13%	8%
No	87%	88%	87%	92%
3. If alcoholic beverages are sold at events, are the arenas/stadiums on or off campus? (Percentages reported are calculated only from those respondents who answered yes to the previous question regarding the sale of alcoholic beverages.)				
On-campus	6%	32%	45%	44%
Off-campus	50%	54%	48%	36%
Both	14%	14%	7%	20%
4. Does your institution allow alcoholic beverages to be advertised at athletic events? -allow alcohol ads in <u>signage</u>				
Yes	17%	17%	17%	12%
No	83%	83%	83%	88%
-allow alcohol ads in <u>game programs</u>				
Yes	21%	19%	17%	16%
No	79%	81%	83%	84%

* Actively planning means organizational meetings held and preliminary plans developed for such a program.

** N/R - No response.

*** A change in calculations for questions 11A-C and 112A-C under drug testing makes comparisons to past years problematic. In 2001 percentages were calculated from the total number of responses to each particular question, not only from schools that had drug testing.